1		
2	HONO	DRABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
3		JANUARE B. ELIGITON
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STAT FOR THE WESTERN DIST	
10 11	ARKEMA INC., a Pennsylvania Corporation, and GENERAL METALS OF TACOMA, INC., a Washington Corporation,	
12	D1 1 100	
13	Plaintiffs,	NO. CV05-5087
14	v.	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	ASARCO, Inc., a New Jersey Corporation; BALFOUR GUTHRIE & CO., LTD., a Delaware Corporation; SYGEN INTERNATIONAL PLC, an English Corporation; ECHO LUMBER CO., an Oregon Corporation; GOODWIN JOHNSON (1960) LTD., a Canadian Corporation; JOHNSON- BYERS, INC., a Washington Corporation; JCI JONES CHEMICALS, INC., a/k/a JONES CHEMICAL COMPANY, INC., a New York Corporation; MILGARD MANUFACTURING INC., a Washington Corporation; DONALD E. OLINE, a Washington resident; PETROLEUM RECLAIMING SERVICE, INC., a Washington Corporation; PORTAC, INC., a Washington Corporation; and WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY, a Washington Corporation; Defendants.	ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSING AND BARRING CLAIMS AGAINST ECHO LUMBER CO.

1
•

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

#1220898 v1

The Court, being fully advised in the premises and for good cause shown, hereby grants Plaintiffs' Motion and makes the following findings and order:

FINDINGS

- 1. Arkema, Inc. and General Metals of Tacoma, Inc. ("Plaintiffs") filed a complaint in this case on February 1, 2005, asserting claims for contribution against defendant Echo Lumber Co. (the "Settling Defendant") and other defendants under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f) and the Washington Model Toxics Control Act ("MTCA"), RCW 70.105D.080, and contractual indemnity. Plaintiffs' claims are based upon response costs they allegedly incurred in responding to the release of hazardous substances to an area known as the Head of the Hylebos which is a portion of the Hylebos Waterway Problem Area located within the Commencement Bay Nearshore/Tideflats Superfund Site, a designated National Priorities List site in Tacoma, Washington (the "Site").
- 2. Certain defendants in this case have asserted cross-claims for contribution and/or indemnity against other defendants, including the Settling Defendant, under CERCLA, MTCA and other state and federal laws.
- 3. The Plaintiffs have entered into a good faith settlement agreement dated February 3, 2007 with the Settling Defendant (the "Settlement"). The Settlement releases the Settling Defendant from claims asserted by the Plaintiffs. The Settlement is contingent upon this Court's approval and issuance of an order dismissing and barring claims against the Settling Defendant.
- 4. Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendant negotiated at arms-length and considered the strengths and risks of their respective cases, evidentiary and legal issues as known at this time, and the expenses of litigation.
- 5. The Settlement and the application of the principles of section 6 of the Uniform Comparative Fault Act, 12 U.L.A. 126-53 (1996), with respect to the Settlement's effect on Order Approving Settlement and Dismissing and Barring Claims - 2

1		
2	nonsettling defendants in this case are fair and consistent with the purposes of CERCLA and the	
3	strong federal policy favoring settlements.	
4	ORDER	
5		
6 7	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
8	1. The Settlement is approved and all claims by Plaintiffs against the Settling Defendant	
9	are hereby DISMISSED, WITH PREJUDICE.	
10	2. All claims for contribution against the Settling Defendant relating to the Site under	
11	CERCLA, MTCA or any other applicable federal or state laws are hereby BARRED and	
12 13	DISMISSED, WITH PREJUDICE, including those claims asserted by the remaining, nonsettling	
14	defendants.	
15	3. The principles of Section 6 of the Uniform Comparative Fault Act, 12 U.L.A. 147	
16	(1996) shall govern the effect of the Settlement on Plaintiffs' claims against nonsettling defendants	
17	and that Plaintiffs' recovery, if any, against the nonsettling parties shall be reduced by the Settling	
18 19	Defendant's equitable and proportionate share of liability for response actions at the Site, as	
20		
21	Done this 9 th day of March, 2007.	
22		
23	BY THE COURT:	
24		
25		
26	Kmall B. Leightun	
27	RONALD B. LEIGHTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
28		